



THE SUCCESS RATE OF VAGINAL BIRTH AFTER ONE PREVIOUS CESAREAN SECTION IN KING ABDUL-AZIZ UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL

Ashraf Abdelbassit Radwan¹, Osama Sadeak Bajouh¹, Mohammad Ayidh Almalki^{2*}, Bandar Khalid Almurashi², Mohammed Abdulhamid Alfuraydi², Mohammad Atef Mansory², Muhammad Saad Alhaqbani²

1. Department of Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudia Arabia.
2. Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudia Arabia.

ARTICLE INFO

Received:

10 Apr 2022

Received in revised form:

03 Aug 2022

Accepted:

09 Aug 2022

Available online:

28 Aug 2022

Keywords: Vagina birth after cesarean section, Cesarean section, Vaginal delivery, Prevalence of vagina birth after cesarean section in Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

There is an alarming increase in cesarean delivery in Saudi Arabia. Cesarean Section can expose the mother and her fetus to some serious complications. Vaginal birth after cesarean section is a viable option for reducing cesarean section rates. We are aiming to estimate the prevalence, and outcome of VBAC in King Abdul-Aziz University Hospital (KAAUH) in Jeddah in 2018-2019, as well as determine the relationship between the outcome and age of the mother, gestational age, parity, a trail of labor, and the use of the instrument. A retrospective cohort study was conducted in KAAUH including all pregnant women that had their delivery from the 1st of January 2018 to the 31st of December 2019 in KAAUH with one previous history of cesarean section, this data was collected from medical records, and delivery room logbook, this study has total participants of 355 patients. The successful Vaginal delivery rate was 28.7% (102) while 71.3% (253) failed. No significant correlation between age and the success rate of VBAC. There is a positive association between parity and the outcome of VBAC success. There is a positive association between instrumental delivery and VBAC success. There is a positive correlation between spontaneous delivery and VBAC. The prevalence of Success VBAC in this study's participants was low. VBAC is a viable option in decreasing the cesarean section rate, gynecologist and health care providers in our region should consider further investigating research on the causes of the high cesarean section rate.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

To Cite This Article: Radwan AA, Bajouh OS, Almalki MA, Almurashi BK, Alfuraydi MA, Mansory MA, et al. The Success Rate of Vaginal Birth after One Previous Cesarean Section in King Abdul-Aziz University Hospital. *Pharmacophore*. 2022;13(4):125-8. <https://doi.org/10.51847/PwPpWamCbq>

Introduction

There is an alarming increase in cesarean delivery in Saudi Arabia, it is now one of the most common surgeries done in Saudi Arabia [1]. According to the Ministry of Health (MOH) in 2006 [2], a total of 784,145 surgical procedures were done in all government and private hospitals, and 86,197 were cesarean deliveries (11%). This is a result of the perception of lowering the medico-legal concerns and decreasing maternal risks, as well as an escalation of fetal safety. One of the successful birth options after a previous cesarean section is a vaginal delivery after cesarean section (VBAC). Although cesarean section is more expensive than vaginal delivery; there is a slow acceptance of VBAC [3].

According to the Royal College, after a previous C-section, about three out of four women with an uncomplicated pregnancy go into labor naturally and deliver vaginally [4]. A successful VBAC will have a greater chance of vaginal delivery in the future [4]. VBAC is shown to be an effective method of reducing the overall rate of cesarean delivery [5]. It shows promising results with a high success rate for pregnant women [6] concerning maternal and fetal safety [7].

Corresponding Author: Mohammad Ayidh Almalki; Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudia Arabia. E-mail: malmalki0515@stu.kau.edu.sa.

We are aiming to estimate the prevalence, and outcome of VBAC in King Abdul-Aziz University hospital in Jeddah in 2018-2019, as well as determine the relationship between the outcome (success or failure) and age of the mother, gestational age, parity, a trail of labor, and the use of an instrument.

Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective cohort study that was carried out in king Abdul Aziz University Hospital (KAAUH), the hospital is located in the center of Jeddah city that serves the University students, staff members, their families, and a portion of the civilian population, it is a training center of both undergraduate and postgraduate students.

This study population was collected from the 1st of January 2018 to the 31st of December 2019.

This study includes all patients with a history of one previous C/S. Any patients with a history of more than one CS, chronic medical disease, any indication of CS, and recurrent cause of CS were excluded.

Data were collected from the delivery room logbook and medical files, including all women who did one previous cesarean section from the 1st of January 2018 to the 31st of December 2019, including the age of the mother, gestational age, gravidity, parity, use of instrument during VBAC, and trial of labor. We found 355 patients who were eligible for our study patients were categorized as the following:

Group 1 according to the outcome of their VBAC: (A) success (B) failure.

Group 2 according to the age of the mother: (A) <20, (B) from 20-35, (c) >35.

Group 3 according to Parity: (A) multi para, (B) grand multi para.

Group 4 according to the use of instrument during VBAC: (A) used or (B) not used.

Group 5 according to a trail of labor (A) induced (B) spontaneous.

Data were analyzed by using SPSS for windows version 21, to estimate the prevalence of VBAC after one previous CS, estimate the success rate of VBAC after one previous CS, and determine the type of relationship between group 1 and the other groups.

Results and Discussion

In this retrospective cohort study, there were a total of 355 participants that met our criteria, the success rate of VBAC is 28.7% (102), and the Failed rate is 71.3% (253) (Table 1). Their age was categorized as below twenty (1\355), between twenty and thirty-five (266\355), and above thirty-five (87\355). The association between the mother's age and the outcome of VBAC success showed no significant correlation (P-value 0.816) (Table 2).

Table 1. Rate (percentage) of success of vaginal delivery after CS (n = 355)

Type of delivery	Frequency	Percent
VBAC – successful trial	102	28.7%
CS – Failed trials	253	71.3%

The successful vaginal delivery was 102/355 (28.7%). The failure of VBAC was 253/355 (71.3%) (Table 1)

Table 2. Correlate between success and mother age (n = 354)

Age	Success of Vaginal Delivery		P-value*
	Success	Failed	
< 20 years	0	1	0.816
20 - 35 years	77	189	
> 35 years	25	62	

*Chi-squared test

The number of failed cases appears to be larger than successful cases in all age groups, and there is no significant correlation between age and the success rate of VBAC (P-value 0.816) (Table 2)

Three hundred twenty-eight participants were multiparous, twenty-seven participants were grand multiparous. The association between parity and the outcome of VBAC success shows a positive correlation (P-value 0.006) (Table 3).

Table 3. Correlate between success and parity (n = 355)

Parity	Success of Vaginal Delivery		P-value*
	Success	Failed	
Multipara (n = 328)	88	240	0.006
Grand multipara (n = 27)	14	13	

*Chi-squared test

There is a significant positive relation between parity and the possibility of success of vaginal delivery after CS, (P- value 0.006) (Table 3)

Three hundred fifty-two participants were unknown to use instrumental delivery, and three participants used instrumental delivery. The association between instrumental delivery and VBAC success shows a positive correlation (P-value 0.023) (Table 4).

Table 4. Correlate between success and instrument use (n = 355)

Use of instruments	Success of Vaginal Delivery		P-value*
	Success	Failed	
Not known (n = 352)	99	253	0.023
Instrumental delivery (n = 3)	3	0	

*Fisher's exact test

There is a positive relationship between the use of instruments during delivery and the success of vagina delivery after CS, (P-value 0.023) (Table 4)

Three hundred fifty-five participants underwent a trial of labor where three hundred thirty-two had spontaneous labor with VBAC success in one hundred participants and twenty-three participants underwent induced labor with VBAC success in two participants, the association between spontaneous delivery and VBAC shows significant positive correlation (P-value 0.028) (Table 5).

Table 5. Correlate between success and trial of labor (n = 355)

Trial of Labor	Success of Vaginal Delivery		P-value*
	Success	Failed	
Spontaneous (n = 332)	100	232	0.028
Induction (n = 23)	2	21	

*Chi-squared test

There is a significant positive relationship between spontaneous labor and the success of vaginal delivery after CS (Table 5)

The total number of women who went through VBAC after 1 cesarean section from 1st January 2018- 31st December 2019 was 620 after applying including and excluding the criteria, which were mentioned above. Of the total 355 participants, 102/355 (28.7%) of them have successful VBAC [8].

A different parameter shows an increased rate of success, which is similar to other studies finding, like the use of instruments and spontaneous trial of labor [9], which had positive effects on an overall succession of VBAC. Although our study shows a significant positive relation between grand multipara and positive successful VBAC.

Different age groups do not have significant effects on the trial of VBAC.

In comparison to other studies "*Fatimah Alkhamis "pregnancy outcome in women with previous cesarean section, experience from the kingdom of Saudi Arabia" 2019* and *Yun-Xiu Li [10], "Predicting the success of vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: A retrospective cohort study in China" BMJ 2019 [11]*, the successful rate was 73.9% and 84% respectively. So, more effort is needed to increase the success rate in our center, to keep up with other centers nationally and globally, and help reduce the CS rate.

Strength and weakness points

- To our knowledge, our research is the first study on VBAC conducted in King Abdul-Aziz University Hospital.
- The lack of informative data in the delivery room logbook of the course and the outcome of delivery limits our knowledge to configure different causes of high failure rates. Which can help in lowering the rate of Cesarean sections.
- The health service at King Abdul-Aziz University Hospital is directed to staff members and their families mainly, which limits the variety of cases.

Limitations

- Inability to reach information from Phoenix (electronic medical file), which limits our data collection.
- Collecting data from handwriting files might show a misunderstanding of some information.

Conclusion

The prevalence of Success VBAC in this study's participants was low.

There was a significant positive association between the parity, mother age, instrument delivery, and history of spontaneous delivery with Success VBAC. VBAC is a viable option in decreasing the cesarean section rate, gynecologist and health care providers in our region should consider further investigating research on the causes of the high cesarean section rate.

Acknowledgments: None

Conflict of interest: None

Financial support: None

Ethics statement: This study was approved by the King Abdul Aziz University Research Ethics Committee (Unit of Biomedical Ethics).

References

1. Ba'aqeel HS. Cesarean delivery rates in Saudi Arabia: a ten-year review. *Ann Saudi Med.* 2009;29(3):179-83.
2. Ministry of Health (Saudi Arabia). Saudi Arabia Health Statistical Yearbook. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: Ministry of Health (Saudi Arabia). 2006. pp.259-65.
3. Garg VK, Ekuma-Nkama EN. Vaginal birth following two cesarean deliveries—are the risks exaggerated?. *Ann Saudi Med.* 2004;24(4):276-9.
4. Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists. Birth after previous caesarean birth. Green-top Guideline No. 45. 2015. pp.1-5.
5. Sabol B, Denman MA, Guise J. Vaginal birth after cesarean: an effective method to reduce cesarean. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;58(2):309-19.
6. Cheng YW, Eden KB, Marshall N, Pereira L, Caughey AB, Guise JM. Delivery after prior cesarean: maternal morbidity and mortality. *Clin Perinatol.* 2011;38(2):297-309.
7. Brill Y, Windrim R. Vaginal birth after Caesarean section: review of antenatal predictors of success. *J Obstet Gynecol Canada.* 2003;25(4):275-86.
8. Sadiq AM, Al Aasam SR, Rahman A, Hassan AN, Yousif MG. The effect of type of anesthesia on mother and neonatal health during Cesarean section. *J Adv Pharm Educ Res.* 2018;8(4):116-8.
9. Kiwan R, Al Qahtani N. Outcome of vaginal birth after cesarean section: A retrospective comparative analysis of spontaneous versus induced labor in women with one previous cesarean section. *Ann Afr Med.* 2018;17(3):145-50.
10. Alkhamis F. Pregnancy Outcome in Women with Previous One Cesarean Section, Experience from Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Egypt J Hosp Med.* 2019;77(3):5109-13.
11. Li YX, Bai Z, Long DJ, Wang HB, Wu YF, Reilly KH, et al. Predicting the success of vaginal birth after caesarean delivery: a retrospective cohort study in China. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(5):e027807.