



EVALUATION OF MEMBRANOUS NEPHROPATHY DIAGNOSTIC AND MANAGEMENT APPROACH

Mojtaba Ali Bokheder¹, Bander Bin Saleh Alsalmi Asiri², Aouss Khalid Asad Alsarah², Nawaf Abdullah Alshahrani², Saad Mohammed Al Tarish², Amal Maher Harakati³, Ibtisam Aziz A Almuntashiri³, Feras Ahmed Alamir⁴, Shumukh Mohammed Alshmrri⁵, Ghadah Nashmi Alkhaldi^{6*}

1. *Department of Medicine, Omran General Hospital, Al Ahsa, KSA.*
2. *Faculty of Medicine, King Khalid University, Abha, KSA.*
3. *Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, KSA.*
4. *Faculty of Medicine, Warsaw Medical University, Warsaw, Poland.*
5. *Department of Intensive Care, Gurayat General Hospital, Gurayat, KSA.*
6. *Department of Internal Medicine, Security Forces Hospital, Dammam, KSA.*

ARTICLE INFO

Received:

12 May 2021

Received in revised form:

19 Aug 2021

Accepted:

20 Aug 2021

Available online:

28 Aug 2021

Keywords: Membranous nephropathy, Phospholipase A2 receptor, Systemic lupus erythematosus, Immunohistology

ABSTRACT

Membranous nephropathy is one of the most common causes of nondiabetic adults' nephrotic syndrome. It accounts for up to 30% of nephrotic syndrome cases in Caucasian adults. It can manifest as a primary or secondary disease, but primary is considered more common. The disease has a waxing and waning clinical course and indolent progress, and immunosuppressive treatment is deemed the mainstay therapy for promoting remission. Although there has been advancement in understanding the pathogenesis of this disease, it still requires further research. We aimed to review the literature to promote the understanding of membranous nephropathy. We reviewed the literature for membranous nephropathy; pathogenesis, etiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. Articles were chosen from the PubMed database, and selected studies were subjected to a thorough review. Membranous nephropathy is one of the most common causes of nephrotic syndrome in nondiabetic adults. The clinical course of this disease is waxing and waning and has indolent progression. Despite the improvement in diagnostic approaches and treatment options, it remains an active field for diagnostic and pharmacological research.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows others to remix, and build upon the work non commercially.

To Cite This Article: Bokheder MA, Asiri BBSA, Alsarah AKA, Alshahrani NA, Al Tarish SM, Harakati AM, et al. Evaluation of Membranous Nephropathy Diagnostic and Management Approach. *Pharmacophore*. 2021;12(4):73-8. <https://doi.org/10.51847/qixenY6Ym6>

Introduction

Membranous nephropathy (MN) is one of the most prevalent causes of nondiabetic adults' nephrotic syndrome. It constitutes 20 to 30 percent of nephrotic syndrome cases in Caucasian adults [1]. Membranous nephropathy is based upon the histological changes evident on a light microscope, which includes glomerular basement membrane thickening, with little infiltration or cellular proliferation, and capillary wall thickening [2]. MN occurs most often as a primary and autoimmune-mediated condition; however, it has emerged to be also associated with other conditions and medications [3]. In this review we discuss the pathogenesis, clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment.

Materials and Methods

We utilized the PubMed database for the selection process of relevant articles, and the following keys used in the mesh ("Membranous Nephropathy"[Mesh]) OR ("Pathogenesis"[Mesh] OR "Etiology"[Mesh] OR "Clinical Presentation"[Mesh] OR "Diagnosis"[Mesh] OR "Treatment"[Mesh])). For the inclusion criteria, the articles were selected based on including one of the following: membranous nephropathy or membranous nephropathy's pathogenesis, etiology, clinical presentation,

Corresponding Author: Ghadah Nashmi Alkhaldi; Department of Internal Medicine, Security Forces Hospital, Dammam, KSA. E-mail: Dr.khghada@gmail.com.

diagnosis, and treatment. Exclusion criteria were all other articles that did not meet the criteria by not having any of the inclusion criteria results in their topic.

Results and Discussion

MN accounts for 20-30% of nephrotic syndrome cases in Caucasian adults. White males over the age of 40 are more likely to develop idiopathic MN. While it is less common in females, the presence of MN in young women should raise suspicions about lupus [1, 4, 5]. On the other hand, MN is less prevalent in children, who are more likely to develop it due to hepatitis B or, less commonly, autoimmune or thyroid disease [6].

Pathogenesis

According to MN experimental invented models, MN is immunologically mediated by the deposit of immune complexes on subepithelial space. Immunoglobulin of type IgG acts against the endogenous antigens expressed on or in the proximity of the podocytes processes to form these immune complexes. Consequently, they interfere with the barrier function of podocytes and manifest clinically as nephrotic syndrome [7].

The mechanisms behind the pathogenesis of MN have largely been unraveled using the rat model of Heymann nephritis, which closely matches the human disease in clinical and histological aspects. In this model, circulating antibodies attack the endocytic receptor megalin (gp330) expressed on podocyte processes [8, 9]. The immune deposits then activate the complement immune system, causing podocytes' slit diaphragm function to be lost. Consequently, the core manifestation of nephrotic syndrome, proteinuria, ensues [10, 11]. Expression of megalin on podocytes appears to be specific to the rat, and it has been demonstrated that it is not the antigen in human MN. However, other antigens have been demonstrated in human MN [9].

Antigens Linked to Human MN

Primary MN

Phospholipase A2 receptor: PLA2R is a transmembrane receptor of M-type expressed on glomerular podocytes. It is expressed in a high quantity on the podocytes and has been recognized as the major antigen in human MN [12]. One study has shown the majority of patients of MN (70%) have circulating autoantibodies directed against this receptor. Moreover, the study demonstrated the correlation of disease activity with the serum concentration of antibodies. Interestingly, this effect of antibodies reaction to PLA2R was evident only in a small percentage of patients with secondary MN [12]. Therefore, PLA2R antibodies have the potential to be of prognostic significance. Some studies have demonstrated that the titers of PLA2R antibodies before the resolution of proteinuria become undetectable [13]. Furthermore, immunosuppressive therapy decreases the levels of PLA2R antibodies. These findings emphasize the importance and utility of PLA2R antibodies in this disease [14].

Thrombospondin type-1 Domain-Containing 7A: THSD7A is, similar to PLA2R, a transmembrane glycoprotein expressed by podocytes. It was noted to be available in 3-5% of MN patients who were found to have negative titers of PLA2R antibodies. Likewise, it triggers IgG-mediated antibody response [15].

Neutral Endopeptidase: Neutral endopeptidase (NEP) was described in 2002 when they found that fetuses born to mothers deficient in NEP developed MN. Antibodies against NEB originated by the mother's immune system crossed the placenta and resulted in MN in the fetus at birth. Renal biopsies revealed an extremely severe type of MN, with the majority of glomerular capillary tufts collapsed. Moreover, the Immunofluorescence study revealed IgG and C3 epithelial deposits. Findings were quite similar to those observed in the adults with MN [16].

Secondary MN

In individuals with secondary MN, several antigens have been discovered in the glomerular immune deposits. Infection-related antigens include hepatitis B antigen, treponemal antigen, and *Helicobacter pylori* antigens. Autoimmune antigens comprise antigens related to systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE); such as Double-stranded DNA, exostosin 1 and 2, and thyroglobulin that is implicated in thyroiditis [17]. Malignancy-related antigens include carcinoembryonic antigen and prostate-specific antigen. Up to this time, the pathogenicity of these antigens has not been established [18].

Etiology

Adult cases of MN account for around 75% of all cases and they are deemed idiopathic [1, 4]. Secondary MN has been linked to several substances or diseases, according to findings that the nephrotic syndrome can be resolved by removing the triggering agent or treating the underlying illness. Notably, it is not applicable to tell the difference between primary and secondary MN only by clinical symptoms. Sometimes, there are certain microscopic and immunofluorescence results that point to secondary etiology [5, 7]. Furthermore, the presence of anti-phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R) antibodies in primary but not secondary MN allows for the separation of these two entities in the majority of instances [19].

Causes of Secondary MN

Systemic lupus erythematosus: up to 20% of patients with lupus nephritis develop MN. A group of patients with membranous lupus may present with just kidney disease with no clinical manifestations or serologic findings indicative of lupus. However, such findings may appear after months after the nephrotic syndrome. Lupus should be considered in any young lady who has idiopathic MN [20].

Medications: Drugs that are usually used for treating rheumatoid arthritis have been involved in the occurrence of MN, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, penicillamine, gold salts, elementary mercury, and anti-tumor necrosis factor agents. The mechanisms behind drug-induced MN are still unraveled [21-25].

Hepatitis B Virus Infection: MN caused by hepatitis B virus infection typically affects youngsters in endemic regions, with the majority of them being asymptomatic carriers with no active disease state. Liver enzymes are typically normal or moderately raised, and serology reveals positive results for surface antigen, anti-core antibody, and, in most cases, e antigen. It seems that the glomeruli are predominantly deposited with e antigens and anti-e antibodies [6, 20, 26]. Proteinuria resolves spontaneously in children with MN caused by hepatitis B virus infection, but not in adults, many of whom will have a progressive illness [26]. The majority of studies, but not all, found a low frequency of anti-PLA2R antibodies with or without PLA2R staining of immune deposits in MN caused by hepatitis B [12, 14].

Syphilis: MN has been linked to both congenital and secondary syphilis. Immunofluorescence microscopy has recognized Treponemal antigens in the glomeruli, and glomerular deposits have been found to contain antibodies specific for Treponema pallidum antigen. Furthermore, successful syphilis therapy can result in glomerular disease remission [27, 28].

Malignancy: About 5%-20% of MN in the adult population, especially adults beyond the age of 65, have an associated malignancy, most typically a solid tumor such as prostatic cancer, lung, bladder, or gastrointestinal tract. Less common examples include hematologic malignancy such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia [29-32]. The suggested mechanism pertains to tumor antigen accumulation in the glomeruli to increase antibody deposition and complement activation, resulting in epithelial cell and glomerular basement membrane damage and proteinuria [33].

MN with other Glomerular Disorders: MN may be seen in conjunction with other glomerular diseases. These include diabetic nephropathy, crescentic glomerulonephritis, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, and IgA nephropathy [34-37].

Clinical Presentation

The majority of MN patients (about 80%) present with nephrotic syndrome; the remaining patients are identified after an examination for silent proteinuria. In contrast to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), significant proteinuria in the absence of hypoalbuminemia is uncommon. As a result of nephrotic syndrome, the patients present with weight gain and lower extremity edema. Proteinuria varies in severity, ranging from sub-nephrotic to more than 20 g/day. Proteinuria is generally evident for numerous months before the MN diagnosis by kidney biopsy is made, highlighting the indolent rate of progression of the disease [38]. Other common urinalysis and urine microscopy abnormalities include oval fat bodies, lipid droplets, and fatty casts. Patients with nephrotic syndrome almost invariably have severe hyperlipidemia at the time of diagnosis, but not those with sub-nephrotic proteinuria [39].

Individuals with MN often have either spontaneous or full or partial remission induced by treatment or chronic nephrotic syndrome with or without gradual development to end-stage renal disease. Long-term prognosis depends in part on the sub-nephrotic proteinuria levels. As long as the levels remain sub-nephrotic (3.5 to 4.0 g/day). These patients, nonetheless, require long-term protein excretion monitoring since many reach the levels of nephrotic proteinuria, which is linked with a greater risk of disease progression [39].

Diagnostic Plan

In the past, it was required to utilize kidney biopsy to diagnose MN; nevertheless, due to the recognition of target antigens and the fair accuracy of anti-phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R) serological antibody assays, many physicians prefer to start the diagnostic plan with serology, especially if there are biopsy-related contraindications [40-42].

In individuals with positive anti-PLA2R serology, normal kidney function, and no indication of secondary causes of MN, it is preferable to utilize serologic-based diagnosis tools, which may eliminate the necessity for a kidney biopsy. A negative anti-PLA2R or anti-thrombospondin type-1 domain-containing 7A (THSD7A) serological test may not rule out primary MN, since up to 20% of patients may be seronegative when they present with nephrotic syndrome [40, 41].

In general, a thorough history of all patients with proteinuria that includes the history of drug use such as overuse of NSAIDs, infections like hepatitis B, autoimmune conditions such as SLE, or malignancy is an essential initial step. Afterward, laboratory and radiological assessments can be performed. This includes a full chemistry panel comprising serum albumin, complete blood count, urine analysis, 24-hour urine collection for detecting proteinuria, serology for antibodies and complement levels, tests for viral hepatitis, and chest radiograph or CT for patients with a history of smoking or exposure to asbestos. Essentially, immunohistology and electron microscopy are used to recognize immune complex deposits in GBM [40, 43].

Management

The treatment of secondary MN relies on treating the underlying etiology [44]. On the other hand, primary MN has several therapy options, including immunosuppressive medications, and drugs for proteinuria such as ACE inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) [45]. Given the high likelihood of spontaneous remission, guidelines advise waiting a period of attentive waiting before contemplating immunosuppressive therapy. If anti-proteinuric treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs is started, the chances of spontaneous remission are significantly higher [43]. Immunosuppressive option comprises corticosteroid, cyclophosphamide, tacrolimus, chlorambucil, cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, and rituximab. Cyclophosphamide alternating with a corticosteroid, commonly known as the Ponticelli regime, is frequently recommended as a first-line immunosuppressive treatment for primary MN [46].

The most challenging part of MN is choosing who should be treated with immunosuppressive medication rather than anti-proteinuric medicines. A major part of the issue stems from the inability to anticipate who will proceed to end-stage renal disease, or kidney disease severe enough to necessitate dialysis. Because the medicines listed above are risky, therapy should not be started without careful assessment and weighing the risks and benefits. It is worth noting that corticosteroids alone provide minimal benefit. The focus of treatment in MN is initially first to induce a remission of the nephrotic syndrome, then to avoid the development of end-stage renal failure [47-49].

Conclusion

Membranous nephropathy is one of the most prevalent causes of nondiabetic individuals' nephrotic syndrome. It most often occurs idiopathically, and has a waxing and waning clinical presentation. Studies in the last decades have linked to the presence of anti-phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R) antibodies. This discovery has encouraged physicians to follow a serology-based approach to improve the outcomes of the patients. Moreover, immunosuppressive therapy has been shown to promote remissions in patients suffering from this disease. However, it remains an active field for diagnostic and pharmaceutical research

Acknowledgments: None

Conflict of interest: None

Financial support: None

Ethics statement: None

References

1. Wang S, Lu Y, Hong Q, Geng X, Wang X, Zheng W, et al. Protein Array-Based Detection of Proteins in Kidney Tissues from Patients with Membranous Nephropathy. *Biomed Res Int.* 2017;2017:7843584. doi:10.1155/2017/7843584
2. Fogo AB, Lusco MA, Najafian B, Alpers CE. *AJKD Atlas of Renal Pathology: Membranous Nephropathy.* *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2015;66(3):e15-e7. doi:10.1053/J.AJKD.2015.07.006
3. Hofstra JM, Fervenza FC, Wetzels JF. Treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2013;9(8):443-58. doi:10.1038/NRNEPH.2013.125
4. Debiec H, Ronco P. Immunopathogenesis of membranous nephropathy: an update. *Semin Immunopathol.* 2014;36(4):381-97. doi:10.1007/S00281-014-0423-Y
5. Cattran DC, Brenchley PE. Membranous nephropathy: integrating basic science into improved clinical management. *Kidney Int.* 2017;91(3):566-74. doi:10.1016/J.KINT.2016.09.048
6. Johnson RJ, Couser WG. Hepatitis B infection and renal disease: clinical, immunopathogenetic and therapeutic considerations. *Kidney Int.* 1990;37(2):663-76. doi:10.1038/KI.1990.32
7. Kerjaschki D. Pathomechanisms and molecular basis of membranous glomerulopathy. *Lancet (London, England).* 2004;364(9441):1194-6. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17154-7
8. Quigg RJ. Why study membranous nephropathy in rats? *Kidney Int.* 2003;64(6):2318-9. doi:10.1046/J.1523-1755.2003.00382.X
9. Farquhar MG, Saito A, Kerjaschki D, Orlando RA. The Heymann nephritis antigenic complex: megalin (gp330) and RAP. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 1995;6(1):35-47. doi:10.1681/ASN.V6135
10. Nangaku M, Shankland SJ, Couser WG. Cellular response to injury in membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005;16(5):1195-204. doi:10.1681/ASN.2004121098
11. Cunningham PN, Quigg RJ. Contrasting roles of complement activation and its regulation in membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005;16(5):1214-22. doi:10.1681/ASN.2005010096
12. Beck Jr LH, Bonegio RG, Lambeau G, Beck DM, Powell DW, Cummins TD, et al. M-type phospholipase A2 receptor as target antigen in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(1):11-21. doi:10.1056/NEJMOA0810457

13. Qin HZ, Zhang MC, Le WB, Ren Q, Chen DC, Zeng CH, et al. Combined Assessment of Phospholipase A2 Receptor Autoantibodies and Glomerular Deposits in Membranous Nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2016;27(10):3195-203. doi:10.1681/ASN.2015080953
14. Hofstra JM, Debiec H, Short CD, Pellé T, Kleta R, Mathieson PW, et al. Antiphospholipase A2 receptor antibody titer and subclass in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2012;23(10):1735-43. doi:10.1681/ASN.2012030242
15. Tomas NM, Beck Jr LH, Meyer-Schwesinger C, Seitz-Polski B, Ma H, Zahner G, et al. Thrombospondin type-1 domain-containing 7A in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(24):2277-87. doi:10.1056/NEJMOA1409354
16. Debiec H, Guignon V, Mougnot B, Decobert F, Haymann JP, Bensman A, et al. Antenatal membranous glomerulonephritis due to anti-neutral endopeptidase antibodies. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(26):2053-60. doi:10.1056/NEJMOA012895
17. Sethi S, Madden BJ, Debiec H, Charlesworth MC, Gross L, Ravindran A, et al. Exostosin 1/Exostosin 2-Associated Membranous Nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2019;30(6):1123-36. doi:10.1681/ASN.2018080852
18. Ronco P, Debiec H. Molecular pathomechanisms of membranous nephropathy: from Heymann nephritis to alloimmunization. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005;16(5):1205-13. doi:10.1681/ASN.2004121080
19. Coenen MJ, Hofstra JM, Debiec H, Stanescu HC, Medlar AJ, Stengel B, et al. Phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R1) sequence variants in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013;24(4):677-83. doi:10.1681/ASN.2012070730
20. Jennette JC, Iskandar SS, Dalldorf FG, Jennette JC. Pathologic differentiation between lupus and nonlupus membranous glomerulopathy. *Kidney Int.* 1983;24(3):377-85. doi:10.1038/KI.1983.170
21. Nawaz FA, Larsen CP, Troxell ML. Membranous nephropathy and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2013;62(5):1012-7. doi:10.1053/J.AJKD.2013.03.045
22. Radford MG, Holley KE, Grande JP. Reversible Membranous Nephropathy Associated with the Use of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs. *JAMA.* 1996;276(6):466-9. doi:10.1001/JAMA.1996.03540060042033
23. Katz WA, Blodgett Jr RC, Pietrusko RG. Proteinuria in gold-treated rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Intern Med.* 1984;101(2):176-9. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-101-2-176
24. Hall CL, Jawad S, Harrison PR, MacKenzie JC, Bacon PA, Klouda PT, et al. Natural course of penicillamine nephropathy: a long term study of 33 patients. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* 1988;296(6629):1083-6. doi:10.1136/BMJ.296.6629.1083
25. Stokes MB, Foster K, Markowitz GS, Ebrahimi F, Hines W, Kaufman D, et al. Development of glomerulonephritis during anti-TNF-alpha therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2005;20(7):1400-6. doi:10.1093/NDT/GFH832
26. Lai KN, Li PK, Lui SF, Au TC, Tam JS, Tong KL. Membranous nephropathy related to hepatitis B virus in adults. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;324(21):1457-63. doi:10.1056/NEJM199105233242103
27. Gamble CN, Reardan JB. Immunopathogenesis of syphilitic glomerulonephritis. Elution of antitreponemal antibody from glomerular immune-complex deposits. *N Engl J Med.* 1975;292(9):449-54. doi:10.1056/NEJM197502272920903
28. O'regan SE, FONG JS, de Chadarevian JP, Rishikof JR, Drummond KN. Treponemal antigens in congenital and acquired syphilitic nephritis: demonstration by immunofluorescence studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 1976;85(3):325-7. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-85-3-325
29. Burstein DM, Korbet SM, Schwartz MM. Membranous glomerulonephritis and malignancy. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1993;22(1):5-10. doi:10.1016/S0272-6386(12)70160-9
30. Ronco PM. Paraneoplastic glomerulopathies: new insights into an old entity. *Kidney Int.* 1999;56(1):355-77. doi:10.1046/J.1523-1755.1999.00548.X
31. Da'as N, Polliack A, Cohen Y, Amir G, Darmon D, Kleinman Y, et al. Kidney involvement and renal manifestations in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and lymphocytic leukemia: a retrospective study in 700 patients. *Eur J Haematol.* 2001;67(3):158-64. doi:10.1034/J.1600-0609.2001.5790493.X
32. Hill PA, Goodman DG, Snyder RD. Nephrotic syndrome associated with carcinoma of the breast. *Clin Nephrol.* 2003;60(6):437-8. doi:10.5414/CNP60437
33. Couser WG, Wagonfeld JB, Spargo BH, Lewis EJ. Glomerular deposition of tumor antigen in membranous nephropathy associated with colonic carcinoma. *Am J Med.* 1974;57(6):962-70. doi:10.1016/0002-9343(74)90176-4
34. Wakai S, Magil AB. Focal glomerulosclerosis in idiopathic membranous glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int.* 1992;41(2):428-34. doi:10.1038/KI.1992.59
35. Bertani T, Appel GB, D'Agati V, Nash MA, Pirani CL. Focal segmental membranous glomerulonephropathy associated with other glomerular diseases. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1983;2(4):439-48. doi:10.1016/S0272-6386(83)80076-6
36. Khodarahmi S, Shirmohammadi M, Shirazi MG, Jamali S, Gandomani ZT. The effect of computer-based education on attitude towards marriage in single people with type 1 Diabetes. *J Adv Pharm Edu Res.* 2020;10(2):35-40
37. Ahmed IA, Alosaimi ME, Alkathami SM, Alkhurayb NT, Alrasheed MS, Alanazi ZM, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practices towards diabetes mellitus among non-diabetes community members of Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Int J Pharm Res Allied Sci.* 2020;9(1):41-51.
38. Wasserstein AG. Membranous glomerulonephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 1997;8(4):664-74. doi:10.1681/ASN.V84664

39. Hladunewich MA, Troyanov S, Calafati J, Cattran DC. The natural history of the non-nephrotic membranous nephropathy patient. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009;4(9):1417-22. doi:10.2215/CJN.01330209
40. De Vriese AS, Glasscock RJ, Nath KA, Sethi S, Fervenza FC. A Proposal for a Serology-Based Approach to Membranous Nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2017;28(2):421-30. doi:10.1681/ASN.2016070776
41. Bobart SA, De Vriese AS, Pawar AS, Zand L, Sethi S, Giesen C, et al. Noninvasive diagnosis of primary membranous nephropathy using phospholipase A2 receptor antibodies. *Kidney Int.* 2019;95(2):429-38. doi:10.1016/J.KINT.2018.10.021
42. Couser WG. Primary Membranous Nephropathy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2017;12(6):983-97. doi:10.2215/CJN.11761116
43. Floege J, Barbour SJ, Cattran DC, Hogan JJ, Nachman PH, Tang SC, et al. Management and treatment of glomerular diseases (part 1): conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. *Kidney Int.* 2019;95(2):268-80. doi:10.1016/J.KINT.2018.10.018
44. Qin W, Beck LH, Zeng C, Chen Z, Li S, Zuo K, et al. Anti-phospholipase A2 receptor antibody in membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;22(6):1137-43. doi:10.1681/ASN.2010090967
45. Hogan SL, Muller KE, Jennette JC, Falk RJ. A review of therapeutic studies of idiopathic membranous glomerulopathy. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1995;25(6):862-75. doi:10.1016/0272-6386(95)90568-5
46. Jha V, Ganguli A, Saha TK, Kohli HS, Sud K, Gupta KL. A randomized, controlled trial of steroids and cyclophosphamide in adults with nephrotic syndrome caused by idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;18(6):1899-904. doi:10.1681/ASN.2007020166
47. du Buf-Vereijken PW, Branten AJ, Wetzels JF. Cytotoxic therapy for membranous nephropathy and renal insufficiency: improved renal survival but high relapse rate. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2004;19(5):1142-8. doi:10.1093/NDT/GFH036
48. du Buf-Vereijken PW, Wetzels JF. Efficacy of a second course of immunosuppressive therapy in patients with membranous nephropathy and persistent or relapsing disease activity. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2004;19(8):2036-43. doi:10.1093/NDT/GFH312
49. Schieppati A, Mosconi L, Perna A, Mecca G, Bertani T, Garattini S, et al. Prognosis of untreated patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329(2):85-9. doi:10.1056/NEJM199307083290203