Pharmacophore

ISSN-2229-5402

Journal home page: http://www.pharmacophorejournal.com



MORTALITY AMONG CANCER PATIENTS RECEIVING ANTICANCER TREATMENT DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A SINGLE TERTIARY CENTER EXPERIENCE

M. Al-Hajeili¹, Sh. Samargandy^{1*}

1. Department of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 20 Nov 2020 Received in revised form: 31 Jan 2021 Accepted: 03 Feb 2021 Available online: 28 Feb 2021

Keywords: Curative therapy, Palliative therapy, Cancer, Chemotherapy, Mortality, COVID-

ABSTRACT

Since the beginning of 2020, the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic has limited many aspects of health care delivery. These limitations have affected cancer patients in various ways, raising concerns about worsening morbidity and mortality among this special population. No studies have assessed the impact of the pandemic on the short-term morbidity and mortality among cancer patients. This study aimed to determine the effect of the pandemic on the early clinical outcomes in cancer patients receiving anticancer treatment in Saudi Arabia during the pandemic period. This included adult patients with solid or hematological tumors undergoing anticancer therapy. We collected data on patient demographics, health status, type of treatment, and morbidity and mortality outcomes. We performed univariate and multivariate analyses to identify possible associations with each variable, including the COVID-19 test results. The mean age of the patients was 52.32 years, and 69.9% of the population were women. Of the patients, 64.1% were administered curative therapy. The 30-day morbidity and mortality rates were 19.2% and 5.1%, respectively. Ten patients (2.5%) developed COVID-19 during the study period, of whom three died. The mortality rate was 30% and 4.4% in cancer patients with and without COVID-19, respectively. The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status was associated with higher 30-day morbidity (odds ratio: 8.48, P < .001) and mortality (odds ratio: 3.86, P < .001). The mortality rate in cancer patients receiving anticancer treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic was similar to that reported in the literature preceding the pandemic.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License</u>, which allows others to remix, and build upon the work non commercially.

To Cite This Article: Al-Hajeili M, Samargandy S. Mortality among Cancer Patients Receiving Anticancer Treatment during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Single Tertiary Center Experience. Pharmacophore. 2021;12(1):24-9. https://doi.org/10.51847/XGC5XdfGWO

Introduction

By the end of 2019, a novel coronavirus led to a cluster of cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome in southern China [1]. In January 2020, the WHO declared the COVID-19 outbreak a public emergency, and in March 2020, many countries implemented quarantine and national lockdown to control the spread of the disease [2-4]. The dynamics of providing health care for cancer patients were affected by their vulnerability to COVID-19, obstacles limiting their access to optimal care, and their hesitation in seeking medical care [5, 6]. Many health authorities, cancer societies, and patient advocates published guidelines and new policies and procedures to maintain cancer care during this difficult period. This included changes in treatment protocols, scheduling treatment cycles, and implementing virtual care [3, 7].

The proportion of cancer patients who were diagnosed with COVID-19 has been reported to range between 1% and 8% [8]. At the same time, the proportion of COVID-19 patients with a history of cancer has been reported to range between 1% and 3.9%. In addition, cancer patients with COVID-19 have high case fatality rates, which have been reported to range between 11% and 35%, with an odds ratio for mortality of 2.25 [8, 9]. Lee *et al.*, reported similar mortality rates in COVID-19-positive cancer patients who have received different types of cancer treatments in the month prior to the COVID-19 diagnosis [10]. The mortality rates were related to their underlying comorbidities rather than the cancer treatment provided. In Saudi Arabia, the implementation of social distancing started in March 2020, and a 24-h curfew was implemented in the following month. However, patients requiring health care were exempted [11]. To the best of our knowledge, studies assessing the impact of the pandemic on the morbidity among cancer patients are very limited. Further, mortality in cancer patients during the early phase of the pandemic has not been previously assessed. This study aimed at determining the 30-

Corresponding Author: Sh. Samargandy, Department of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: malhajeili@kau.edu.sa.

Pharmacophore, 12(1) 2021, Pages 24-29

day morbidity and mortality among cancer patients receiving anticancer treatment during the pandemic period from March 2020 to June 2020.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Population

We retrospectively reviewed electronic health records of all adult patients with a cancer diagnosis who received any class of anticancer treatment at King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, between March 1, 2020, and June 30, 2020. The study was approved by the King Abdulaziz University Hospital Institutional Research Ethics Board, and the requirement for informed consent was waived.

In this study, we included all adult patients (aged ≥ 16 years) diagnosed with solid or hematological tumors who received anticancer treatment during the 3 months between March 1, 2020, and June 30, 2020, orally or parenterally in the outpatient or inpatient setting. Patients were followed up until July 31, 2020, to determine the treatment outcomes. We excluded cancer patients who were undergoing regular follow-up or surveillance and were not on anticancer treatment. Patients undergoing treatment with other modalities such as radiotherapy, surgery, and supportive care were excluded. Patients who received bone modifying agents without any other type of anticancer treatment were also excluded.

The data collected included the following variables: sex, age, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, body mass index (BMI), type of cancer, stage, treatment class, (chemotherapy, immunotherapy, hormonal therapy, or targeted therapy), route of administration (intravenous, subcutaneous, or oral), the intent of treatment (palliative or curative), type of curative treatment (neoadjuvant or adjuvant), line of anticancer treatment (first-, second-, third-, or fourth-line, and beyond), and the number of cycles of therapy administered within the study period. Comorbidities included in the data were diabetes mellitus, hypertension, stroke, chronic kidney disease, and chronic heart or lung disorders. The patients' COVID-19 nasopharyngeal swab test results were also collected. Per hospital policy, COVID-19 testing was performed in cancer patients only if clinically, and not empirically, indicated.

The outcome events were calculated from the beginning of the last treatment cycle before the event. The last follow-up was defined as the date of the last visit mentioned in the records. Morbidity included any illness requiring admission to the hospital including complications of therapy, or complications of cancer itself.

Statistical Analyses

A descriptive analysis of all the demographic and outcome variables was performed. Data were analyzed using Stata SE version 16.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA). The main outcome of this study was 30-day morbidity and mortality among patients receiving anticancer treatment. We performed a simple logistic regression analysis and then incorporated all variables in a multivariate analysis to establish the odds ratio (OR) for each variable. The following variables were included in the multivariate analysis: age, gender, BMI, class of therapy, the intention of treatment, comorbidity status, type of cancer, stage, and COVID-19 positive status. We also used a stepwise modeling approach, excluding variables with P > .2, to identify the variables that are significantly associated with the main outcome. We only reported the results of the univariate model and the final multivariate model determined using the stepwise approach. A P-value < .05 was considered significant.

Results and Discussion

During the study period, 395 patients received anticancer treatment and met the inclusion criteria. Their mean age was 52.3 years and 267 (69.9%) were women. They were mainly overweight with a mean BMI of 28.4 kg/m^2 and their mean ECOG performance status was fair at 0.79; most patients (69.6%) had no comorbidities. However, 46.4% were at an advanced stage of cancer (Stage IV). Most patients had breast cancer (43.8%). **Tables 1 and 2** present the baseline clinical and demographic characteristics of the study patients.

Table 1. Baseline clinical and demographic characteristics of the study patients

Mean (95% CI) N (%)
52.32 (50.96-53.69)
28.44 (27.78-29.11)
0.79 (0.69-0.89)
276 (69.9%)
119 (30.1%)
282 (71.4%)
42 (10.6%)

Al-Hajeili and Samargandy, 2021					
Pharmacophore, 12(1) 2021, Pages 24-29					
Immunotherapy	1 (0.3%)				
Targeted therapy	70 (17.7%)				
Route					
IV	357 (90.4%)				
Intrathecal	1 (0.25%)				
Oral	1 (0.25%)				
SC SC	36 (9.1%)				
Intention	252 (64.10)				
Curative	253 (64.1%)				
Palliative	142 (35.9%)				
Line of therapy 1st line	319 (82.9%)				
2 nd line					
3 rd line	45 (11.7%) 15 (3.9%)				
4 th line & beyond	6 (1.5%)				
Co-morbidity	0(1.570)				
No No	275 (69.6%)				
Yes	120 (30.4%)				
Stage					
Stage I	19 (5.7%)				
Stage II	47 (14.0%)				
Stage III	114 (33.9%)				
Stage IV	156 (46.4%)				
Table 2. Types of malignancies pres	ented for care during the study period				
Variable	N (%)				
Diagnosis					
Breast	173 (43.8%)				
CNS	3 (0.8%)				
Colorectal	57 (14.4%)				
	10 (2.5%)				
Gynecological	41 (10.4%)				
Head and neck	17 (4.3%)				
Leukemia	16 (4.0%)				
Lung	12 (3.0%)				
Lymphoma	25 (6.3%)				
Multiple Myeloma	13 (3.3%)				
Neuroendocrine	1 (0.3%)				
Pancreatic-biliary	9 (2.3%)				
·					
Prostate	3 (0.8%)				
Sarcoma	6 (1.5%)				
Testis	2 (0.5%)				
Thyroid	2 (0.5%)				
Other	5 (1.3%)				

The 30-day morbidity and 30-day mortality rates were 19.2% and 5.1%, respectively. Ten patients during the study period were diagnosed with COVID-19. **Table 3** illustrates the different adverse outcomes experienced by patients during the study period. Twenty patients died at the end of the study period, and three of them had COVID-19. Overall, the mortality rate was 30% and 4.4% in cancer patients with and without COVID-19, respectively.

Pharmacophore, 12(1) 2021, Pages 24-29

Table 3. Adverse outcomes for cancer patients at the end of the study period.

Tuble 3. Mayorse outcomes for cancer pu	7 1
Variable	N=395 (%)
30-day mortality	20 (5.1%)
30-day morbidity	76 (19.2%)
Hospitalizations	75 (18.9%)
ER visits	83 (21.0%)
ICU admission	12 (3.0%)
COVID Positive	10 (2.5%)

Multivariate analyses revealed that the 30-day morbidity OR for ECOG performance status was 3.86 (p < .001). In addition, higher BMIs were significantly associated with marginally better 30-day morbidity. Patients who received chemotherapy had higher 30-day morbidity than those who received hormonal therapy or targeted therapy (**Table 4**). The 30-day mortality OR for the ECOG performance status was 8.48 (p < .001) (**Table 5**).

Table 4. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis for 30-Day Morbidity

	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis	
	OR (95%CI)	P	OR (95%CI)	P
Line of therapy	1.43 (0.99-2.07)	0.060	0.64 (0.34-1.20)	0.166
BMI	0.92 (0.88-0.96)	0.000	0.92 (0.87-0.98)	0.010
ECOG	3.73 (2.63-5.29)	0.000	3.86 (2.48-6.00)	0.000
Class of therapy	0.67 (0.50-0.90)	0.007	0.56 (0.33-0.96)	0.035

Table 5. Multivariate and Univariate Analysis for 30-Day Mortality

Variables	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis	
	OR (95%CI)	P	OR (95%CI)	P
Age	1.00 (0.98-1.02)	0.755	0.93 (0.86-1.00)	0.084
Comorbidity	2.35 (1.40-3.93)	0.001	9.47 (0.59-152.98)	0.113
ECOG	3.73 (2.63-5.29)	0.000	8.48 (2.79-25.77)	0.000
Gender	1.57 (0.93-2.65)	0.091	11.48 (0.67-197.63)	0.093

This paper presents novel data regarding the morbidity and mortality rates among cancer patients in Saudi Arabia during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. Our study shows that performance status is the strongest predictor of morbidity and mortality in patients receiving anticancer treatment.

Contrary to our study, most reports globally had focused on cancer outcomes in patients with confirmed COVID-19 or the prevalence of COVID-19 in patients with a history of cancer rather than the effect of the pandemic itself on the course of the care [8-10].

During the course of our study, most patients were female and breast cancer was the most common type of cancer, which is consistent with the data reported by the Saudi Cancer Registry [12]. In our study, most patients received curative therapy, most likely because of the consensus during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic that treatment should be limited to those receiving curative therapy. The mean BMI noted in our study was higher than optimal. This finding warrants more research, especially those focused on breast cancer.

In an earlier study on the outcomes of 19 cancer patients at another center in Saudi Arabia, Jazieh *et al.*, reported that the most common underlying malignancy in patients with confirmed COVID-19 was hematological cancer (47.4%) [13]. Lee *et al.* reported similar rates in COVID-19 patients with malignancy, although men were predominant (56%) and gastrointestinal malignancies were the most common type of cancer (19%) [10]. The differences in the demographics between populations could be related to the scope of clinical practice and eligibility at the treatment centers and differences in cancer epidemiology in a country or the differences in patient selection method in the mentioned studies.

This study demonstrated the general outcomes of cancer patients who received anticancer treatment during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. The 30-day mortality rate reported globally prior to the COVID-19 pandemic ranged from 2.5% to 5.12% [14-17]. Similarly, in our study, the 30-day mortality rate was 5.1%. To the best of our knowledge, no comparable study from Saudi Arabia addressing early mortality and morbidity post-chemotherapy in cancer patients is available.

Previous studies have shown that treatment with palliative therapy, stage 4 disease, and an ECOG performance status ≥ 2 were factors associated with higher mortality. The 30-day morbidity was higher in patients with stage 4 disease and in those with comorbidities. Our data showed that a higher BMI was associated with lower 30-day morbidity. This requires further investigation to determine whether being overweight leads to a better prognosis or whether cachexia is associated with

Pharmacophore, 12(1) 2021, Pages 24-29

higher morbidity. Patients who received chemotherapy had higher 30-day morbidity than those who received hormonal therapy or targeted therapy, as chemotherapy is more toxic than other therapies.

Even though the rate of COVID-19 was on the rise during the study period, only a few cancer patients (2.5%) tested positive for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. Our results align with those initially reported from China (0.8%) and Spain (4.2%) [8]. The lower rates of infection among cancer patients in our study population could be attributed to the strict curfew rules that were applied by the Saudi Government as well as the strong health education messages that were constantly being broadcasted to the public [11]. The mortality rate among cancer patients with COVID-19 in our study (30%) is within the range of 11% to 35% that was previously reported [8, 18]. This may be because different protocols were used for treating COVID-19 in different countries.

Our study has some limitations. The most important is that this was a retrospective study. Data regarding some of the variables such as the stage of cancer, oral medications, and the intent of treatment were incomplete. The follow-up was based on the information available in patients' medical records. Another limitation is the heterogeneity with respect to the presence of different types of cancer (solid and hematogenous), stages of cancer, and the general health conditions in our study population. Moreover, COVID-19 testing was limited to patients presenting with suggestive symptoms.

The results of this study could provide more insights into the effect of COVID-19 on cancer patients and assist in creating an evidence-based management plan for cancer patients during these unprecedented times.

Conclusion

Our study showed that the mortality rate in cancer patients receiving anticancer treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic was similar to that reported in the literature preceding the pandemic. During the COVID-19 period, most patients treated received curative therapy. Similar to the pre-COVID-19 era, the performance status was the strongest predictor of morbidity and mortality in patients receiving anticancer treatment, and proper risk assessment should be conducted for this vulnerable group. Further investigations should be done to compare mortality and morbidity among cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic to those during the pre-COVID-19 period.

Limitations

Retrospective design and few patients had incomplete data.

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge all our patients who were part of this study.

Conflict of interest: None.

Financial support: None

Ethics statements: The study was approved by the King Abdulaziz University Hospital Institutional Research Ethics Board, and the requirement for informed consent was waived.

References

- Lestari K, Sitorus T, Instiaty SM, Levita J. Molecular Docking of Quinine, Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine to Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) Receptor for Discovering New Potential COVID-19 Antidote. J Adv Pharm Educ Res. 2020;10(2):1-4.
- World Health Organization. [Internet]. Director-General's remarks at the media briefing on 2019-nCoV on 11 February 2020 [accessed February 12, 2020]. Available from: http://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-2019-ncov-on-11-february-2020.
- 3. Maringe C, Spicer J, Morris M, Purushotham A, Nolte E, Sullivan R, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer deaths due to delays in diagnosis in England, UK: a national, population-based, modelling study. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21(8):1023-34.
- 4. Albureikan MO. COVID-19 Outbreak in Terms of Viral Transmission and Disease Biocontrol by Healthy Microbiome. Int J Pharm Phytopharmacol Res. 2020;10(3):139-46.
- 5. Eltayeb LB. An update about Coronaviruses with Emphasis on Newly Emerged COVID 19. J Biochem Tech. 2020;11(3):14-2.
- 6. Alshammari E. Implementing eOSCE During COVID-19 Lockdown. J Adv Pharm Educ Res. 2020;10(1):174-80.
- Al-Shamsi HO, Alhazzani W, Alhuraiji A, Coomes EA, Chemaly RF, Almuhanna M, et al. A Practical Approach to the Management of Cancer Patients During the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic: An International Collaborative Group. Oncologist. 2020;25(6):e936-e45.
- 8. De Las Heras B, Saini KS, Boyle F, Ades F, Azambuja ED, Bozovic-Spasojevic I, et al. Cancer Treatment and Research During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Experience of the First 6 Months. Oncol Ther. 2020;8(2):171-82.

Pharmacophore, 12(1) 2021, Pages 24-29

- 9. Salunke AA, Nandy K, Pathak SK, Shah J, Kamani M, Kotakotta V, et al. Impact of COVID-19 in cancer patients on severity of disease and fatal outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Syndr: Clin Res Rev. 2020;14(5):1431-7.
- 10. Lee LY, Cazier JB, Angelis V, Arnold R, Bisht V, Campton NA, et al. COVID-19 mortality in patients with cancer on chemotherapy or other anticancer treatments: a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020; 395(10241):1919-26.
- 11. Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. [Internet]. 2020 [Accessed August 14, 2020]. Available from: https://www.my.gov.sa/wps/portal/snp/pages/news.
- 12. Saudi Cancer Registry, Saudi Arabia. [Internet]. 2020 [Accessed August 14, 2020]. Available from: https://nhic.gov.sa/eServices/Documents/E%20SCR%20final%206%20NOV.pdf.
- 13. Jazieh AR, Alenazi TH, Alhejazi A, Al Safi F, Al Olayan A. Outcome of Oncology Patients Infected With Coronavirus. JCO Glob Oncol. 2020;6:471-5.
- 14. Pulgar BD, Yanez BN, Ortega GF. Mortality within 30 days of receiving systemic chemotherapy at a regional oncology unit. Rev Med Chil. 2019;147(7):887-90.
- 15. Ang E, Newton LV. Thirty-day mortality after systemic anticancer treatment as a real-world, quality-of-care indicator: the Northland experience. Intern Med J. 2018;48(4):403-8.
- 16. Khoja L, McGurk A, O'Hara C, Chow S, Hasan J. Mortality within 30 days following systemic anti-cancer therapy, a review of all cases over a 4 year period in a tertiary cancer centre. Eur J Cancer. 2015;51(2):233-40.
- 17. Gilbar PJ, McPherson I, Aisthorpe GG, Kondalsamy-Chennakes S. Systemic anticancer therapy in the last 30 days of life: Retrospective audit from an Australian Regional Cancer Centre. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2019;25(3):599-606.
- 18. Saini KS, Tagliamento M, Lambertini M, McNally R, Romano M, Leone M, et al. Mortality in patients with cancer and COVID-19: A systematic review and pooled analysis of 52 studies. Eur J Cancer. 2020;139:43-50.